

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.350>

Effect of Different Combinations of PGR's and Micronutrients on Quality in Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha

Ashutosh Kumar Singh¹, V.M. Prasad¹, Devi Singh¹, Vijay Bahadur¹,
T. Thomas² and Anil Kumar^{1*}

¹Department of Horticulture, ²Department of Soil Science, SHUATS, Allahabad, U.P., India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out to assess the “Effect of different combinations of PGR's and micronutrients on quality of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha” during 2013-14 and 2014-15 at Central Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, U.P. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with fifteen treatment combinations replicated thrice. Observations were recorded during both years of successive experiment on Total soluble solids (T.S.S.), Sugars and Ascorbic acid. Among them different treatment combination of PGR and micronutrients foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25%+ Manganese sulphate 0.25%+ GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄) proved to be the best treatment in terms of T.S.S., reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, total sugar and ascorbic acid. The maximum total soluble solids 10.31 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25%+ Manganese sulphate 0.25%+ GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄), while the minimum total soluble solids 5.42 was obtained in control. The maximum Ascorbic acid 22.93 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25%+ Manganese sulphate 0.25%+ GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄). The maximum total sugar 9.41 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25%+ Manganese sulphate 0.25%+ GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄).

Keywords

Papaya, Quality, PGR,
Copper sulphate and
Manganese sulphate

Article Info

Accepted:

20 August 2018

Available Online:

10 September 2018

Introduction

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is a delicious fruit, belonging to family Caricaceae. It is native to tropical America (Mexico). Papaya is now cultivated in most countries with tropical climate like India, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Philippines, Australia, Mexico, Indonesia and Bangladesh. A well-drained sandy loam soil, rich in plant food, is the best for papaya cultivation (Bose and Mitra, 1990).

It has attained enormous impact on economical and nutritional value. The ripe fruits of papaya are eaten throughout the world. Papaya fruits also used for several preparations like jam, soft drinks and ice-cream flavouring. It is rich in carotene, vitamin 'C' and minerals such as calcium, phosphorus and iron (Chadha, 1992). The fruits are also vital source of Vitamin-A. The immature papaya fruit contains papain which is in huge demand in the international market

all over the world. Papain is used in meat tendering, chewing gum, cosmetics and for degumming materials in silk and to give resistance against shrinkage to wool.

Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA) belongs to synthetic forms of Auxins. Auxins play a key role in cell elongation, cell division, vascular tissue, differentiation, root initiation, apical dominance, leaf senescence, leaf and fruit abscission, fruit setting and flowering while Gibberellic acid (GA), a plant hormone stimulating plant growth and development. GAs stimulate seed germination, trigger transitions from meristem to shoot growth, juvenile to adult leaf stage, vegetative to flowering and determines sex expression (Gupta and Chakrabarty, 2013).

Foliar application of micronutrients like B, Cu, Mn, Fe and Zn are vital for controlling deficiencies of these elements in fruit crops as well as it advantageous over soil application. These advantages are high effectiveness, rapid plant response, convenience, elimination and reduction of toxic symptoms. If all these nutrients are applied, it may give additional benefits to the plant. Copper sulphate plays a vital role in photosynthesis protein carbohydrate formation in agricultural plants. Many horticultural plants show symptoms of necrosis over the young leaves which results in defoliation of the foliage. Gummosis (Exanthema) and dieback occurs due to copper deficiency.

Manganese sulphate is an essential element in plant respiratory system and plays a crucial role in cell division and development. It also involved in destruction of indole-3 acetic acid (IAA). It activates decarboxylase, dehydrogenase, and oxidase enzymes which are important in photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism and nitrogen assimilation. Deficiency of Manganese sulphate causes necrosis in agricultural crops.

Materials and Methods

An investigation was carried out to assess the “Effect of different combinations of PGR’s and micronutrients on quality of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha” during 2013-14 and 2014-15 at Central Research Field, Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Allahabad, U.P. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with fifteen treatment combinations replicated thrice. Observations were recorded during both years of successive experiment on Total soluble solids (T.S.S.), Reducing sugars, Non-reducing sugar, Total sugar and Ascorbic acid.

The Total Soluble Solids of fruits was recorded with the help of a hand refractometer (Rangana, 2010). The titratable acidity of mango hybrids was determined by the method as suggested by Rangana (2010).

The reducing sugar and Total sugars were estimated by Lane and Eyon (1923). Ascorbic acid content of the juice was determined by method as suggested by A.O.A.C, 2000. Statistical analyses of the data obtained in the different sets of experiment were calculated, as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1989).

Results and Discussion

Biochemical attributes of fruit

Total Soluble Solids (T.S.S.)

The data presented in Table 1 revealed that all the treatments showed significant differences at after planting in first year and second year and their pooled data. The maximum total soluble solids 10.31 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄) followed by 10.25 with the spray of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + NAA 30 ppm (T₁₁), which were at

par with each other. While, the minimum total soluble solids 5.42 was obtained in control.

The increase in TSS of treated fruit juice might be due to the increase in mobilization of carbohydrates from the source to sink i.e., fruits by PGR. This may be attributed to the fact that application of PGR might have increased α -amylase activity and thus there was conversion of starch into sugars and hence improved total soluble solids content. This increase in TSS with the combined application micronutrients and PGR, might be due to the facts that they are helpful in the process of photosynthesis which leads to the accumulations of oligosaccharides and polysaccharides in higher amount besides this also regulates the enzymatic activity and the enzymes that metabolize the carbohydrates into simple sugars. These results are in agreement with the findings of Bal and Randhawa (2007) and Bhati and Yadav (2003) in ber.

Reducing sugar

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that all the treatments showed significant differences at after planting in first year and second year and their pooled data. The maximum Reducing sugar 7.91 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄) followed by 7.88 with the spray of Copper sulphate 0.25% + NAA 30 ppm + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₂), which were at par with each other. Whereas, the minimum Reducing sugar 3.84 was obtained in control. The possible reason might be due to the fact that micronutrients and PGR help in the process of photosynthesis which leads to the accumulations of oligosaccharides and polysaccharides in higher amount. Besides this they also regulate the enzymatic activity and the enzymes that metabolize the carbohydrates into simple sugars.

These findings are in accordance with the result of Singh and Vashistha (1997), reported higher total sugar with 0.5 % ZnSO₄ in ber cv. Gola. Kale *et al.*, (2000) and Ram *et al.*, (2005) reported that the growth regulators promoted hydrolysis of starch into sugars or reduced competition between the fruits for metabolites. This increase in fruit sweetness with potassium sprays might be due to increased photosynthetic activity and building of more carbohydrates and its transport to fruits. Similar findings were earlier reported by Bhatia *et al.*, (2001) in guava. Under the influence of chemicals, the acids might have been quickly converted into sugar and its derivatives by the reactions involving reversal of glycolytic pathway. These results are in agreement with the findings of Dutta and Banik (2007) and Kher *et al.*, (2005) in guava; Katiyar *et al.*, (2010) in ber also reported an increase in sugars.

Non-reducing sugar

The data presented in Table 3 revealed that all the treatments showed significant differences at after planting in first year and second year and their pooled data. The maximum non-reducing sugar 0.71 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄) followed by 0.68 with the spray of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + NAA 30 ppm + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₅), which were at par with each other. Whereas, the minimum non-reducing sugar 0.25 was obtained in control.

The possible reason might be due to the fact that micronutrients and PGR help in the process of photosynthesis which leads to the accumulations of oligosaccharides and polysaccharides in higher amount. Besides this they also regulate the enzymatic activity and the enzymes that metabolize the carbohydrates into simple sugars.

Table.1 Effect of different combination of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on total soluble solids (⁰Brix) of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha

| Treatments | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | Pooled |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| T ₀ | 5.23 | 5.60 | 5.42 |
| T ₁ | 6.76 | 7.13 | 6.95 |
| T ₂ | 7.19 | 7.56 | 7.38 |
| T ₃ | 7.72 | 8.09 | 7.91 |
| T ₄ | 9.71 | 10.08 | 9.90 |
| T ₅ | 9.02 | 9.39 | 9.21 |
| T ₆ | 9.51 | 9.88 | 9.70 |
| T ₇ | 9.79 | 10.16 | 9.98 |
| T ₈ | 8.69 | 9.06 | 8.88 |
| T ₉ | 9.59 | 9.96 | 9.78 |
| T ₁₀ | 8.29 | 8.66 | 8.48 |
| T ₁₁ | 10.16 | 10.33 | 10.25 |
| T ₁₂ | 9.59 | 9.76 | 9.68 |
| T ₁₃ | 10.08 | 10.25 | 10.17 |
| T ₁₄ | 10.22 | 10.39 | 10.31 |
| T ₁₅ | 7.10 | 7.47 | 7.29 |
| F- test | S | S | S |
| S. Ed. (±) | 0.340 | 0.523 | 0.426 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 0.702 | 1.079 | 0.880 |

Table.2 Effect of different combination of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on reducing sugar of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha

| Treatments | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | Pooled |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| T ₀ | 3.78 | 3.90 | 3.84 |
| T ₁ | 4.11 | 4.23 | 4.17 |
| T ₂ | 4.64 | 4.76 | 4.70 |
| T ₃ | 5.17 | 5.29 | 5.23 |
| T ₄ | 7.17 | 7.30 | 7.24 |
| T ₅ | 7.29 | 7.32 | 7.31 |
| T ₆ | 7.21 | 7.31 | 7.26 |
| T ₇ | 7.35 | 7.33 | 7.34 |
| T ₈ | 7.04 | 7.13 | 7.09 |
| T ₉ | 7.55 | 7.36 | 7.46 |
| T ₁₀ | 5.74 | 5.86 | 5.80 |
| T ₁₁ | 7.74 | 7.87 | 7.81 |
| T ₁₂ | 7.86 | 7.89 | 7.88 |
| T ₁₃ | 7.78 | 7.88 | 7.83 |
| T ₁₄ | 7.92 | 7.90 | 7.91 |
| T ₁₅ | 7.56 | 7.39 | 7.48 |
| F- test | S | S | S |
| S. Ed. (±) | 0.968 | 0.498 | 0.706 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 1.997 | 1.028 | 1.458 |

Table.3 Effect of different combination of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on non-reducing sugar of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha

| Treatments | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | Pooled |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| T ₀ | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
| T ₁ | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.29 |
| T ₂ | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.34 |
| T ₃ | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.39 |
| T ₄ | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.45 |
| T ₅ | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.48 |
| T ₆ | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.56 |
| T ₇ | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.57 |
| T ₈ | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.50 |
| T ₉ | 0.62 | 0.69 | 0.66 |
| T ₁₀ | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.51 |
| T ₁₁ | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.57 |
| T ₁₂ | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.60 |
| T ₁₃ | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.65 |
| T ₁₄ | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.71 |
| T ₁₅ | 0.64 | 0.71 | 0.68 |
| F- test | S | S | S |
| S. Ed. (±) | 0.064 | 0.036 | 0.050 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 0.132 | 0.075 | 0.104 |

Table.4 Effect of different combination of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on total sugar of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha

| Treatments | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | Pooled |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| T ₀ | 5.13 | 4.69 | 4.91 |
| T ₁ | 4.76 | 5.02 | 4.89 |
| T ₂ | 5.29 | 5.55 | 5.42 |
| T ₃ | 5.34 | 5.70 | 5.52 |
| T ₄ | 8.80 | 8.79 | 8.80 |
| T ₅ | 8.84 | 8.83 | 8.84 |
| T ₆ | 8.28 | 8.55 | 8.42 |
| T ₇ | 8.59 | 8.76 | 8.68 |
| T ₈ | 8.56 | 8.43 | 8.50 |
| T ₉ | 8.56 | 8.72 | 8.64 |
| T ₁₀ | 5.91 | 6.27 | 6.09 |
| T ₁₁ | 9.37 | 9.36 | 9.37 |
| T ₁₂ | 8.71 | 8.74 | 8.73 |
| T ₁₃ | 8.85 | 9.12 | 8.99 |
| T ₁₄ | 9.41 | 9.40 | 9.41 |
| T ₁₅ | 8.71 | 8.74 | 8.73 |
| F- test | S | S | S |
| S. Ed. (±) | 1.000 | 0.588 | 0.790 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 2.064 | 1.215 | 1.630 |

Table.5 Effect of different combination of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) mg/100 g pulp of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha

| Treatments | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | Pooled |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| T ₀ | 16.79 | 17.62 | 17.21 |
| T ₁ | 17.12 | 17.95 | 17.54 |
| T ₂ | 17.65 | 18.48 | 18.07 |
| T ₃ | 18.18 | 19.01 | 18.60 |
| T ₄ | 22.36 | 22.32 | 22.34 |
| T ₅ | 22.09 | 22.05 | 22.07 |
| T ₆ | 21.63 | 21.59 | 21.61 |
| T ₇ | 22.38 | 22.33 | 22.36 |
| T ₈ | 22.10 | 21.40 | 21.75 |
| T ₉ | 22.00 | 22.56 | 22.28 |
| T ₁₀ | 18.75 | 19.58 | 19.17 |
| T ₁₁ | 22.93 | 22.89 | 22.91 |
| T ₁₂ | 22.66 | 22.62 | 22.64 |
| T ₁₃ | 22.20 | 22.16 | 22.18 |
| T ₁₄ | 22.91 | 22.95 | 22.93 |
| T ₁₅ | 22.95 | 22.90 | 22.92 |
| F- test | S | S | S |
| S. Ed. (±) | 1.066 | 0.973 | 1.018 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 2.201 | 2.009 | 2.101 |

These findings are in accordance with the result of Singh and Vashistha (1997), reported higher total sugar with 0.5 % ZnSO₄ in ber cv. Gola. Kale *et al.*, (2000) reported that the growth regulators promoted hydrolysis of starch into sugars or reduced competition between the fruits for metabolites. This increase in fruit sweetness with potassium sprays might be due to increased photosynthetic activity and building of more carbohydrates and its transport to fruits. Similar findings were earlier reported by Bhatia *et al.*, (2001) in guava. Under the influence of chemicals, the acids might have been quickly converted into sugar and its derivatives by the reactions involving reversal of glycolytic pathway. These results are agreement with the findings of Dutta and Banik (2007) and Kher *et al.*, (2005) in guava; Katiyar *et al.*, (2010) in ber also reported an increase in sugars.

Total sugar

The data presented in Table 4 revealed that all the treatments showed significant differences at after planting in first year and second year and their pooled data. The maximum total sugar 9.41 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄) followed by 9.37 with the spray of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + NAA 30 ppm (T₁₁), which were at par with each other. Whereas, the minimum total sugar 4.91 was obtained in control.

The possible reason might be due to the fact that micronutrients and PGR help in the process of photosynthesis which leads to the accumulations of oligosaccharides and polysaccharides in higher amount. Besides this they also regulate the enzymatic activity

and the enzymes that metabolize the carbohydrates into simple sugars. These findings are in accordance with the result of Singh and Vashistha (1997), reported higher total sugar with 0.5 % ZnSO₄ in ber cv. Gola. Ram *et al.*, (2005) reported that the growth regulators promoted hydrolysis of starch into sugars or reduced competition between the fruits for metabolites. This increase in fruit sweetness with potassium sprays might be due to increased photosynthetic activity and building of more carbohydrates and its transport to fruits. Similar findings were earlier reported by Bhatia *et al.*, (2001) in guava. Under the influence of chemicals, the acids might have been quickly converted into sugar and its derivatives by the reactions involving reversal of glycolytic pathway. These results are agreement with the findings of Dutta and Banik (2007) in guava; Katiyar *et al.*, (2010) in ber also reported an increase in sugars.

Ascorbic acid

The data presented in Table 5 revealed that all the treatments showed significant differences at after planting in first year and second year and their pooled data. The maximum Ascorbic acid 22.93 was obtained with foliar application of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄) followed by 22.92 with the spray of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + NAA 30 ppm + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₅), which were at par with each other. Whereas, the minimum Ascorbic acid 17.21 was obtained in control.

This increase in ascorbic acid content might have been resulted due to the enhanced synthesis of ascorbic acid, due to favourable metabolic activity involving certain enzymes and metallic ions under the influence of plant growth regulators and micronutrients. An Increase in ascorbic acid content might be due

to perpetual synthesis of glucose-6-phosphate throughout the growth and development of fruits which is thought to be the precursor of vitamin-C. These results are in accordance with Sharma *et al.*, (2011) and Katiyar *et al.*, (2009) in guava. Singh *et al.*, (2007) confirmed that combined spray of (0.5%) ZnSO₄ + (10 ppm) NAA + (25 ppm) GA₃ significantly improved ascorbic acid content in aonla cv. NA-10.

From the above experiment it can be concluded that with the application of foliar spray of Copper sulphate 0.25% + Manganese sulphate 0.25% + GA₃ 60 ppm (T₁₄) the quality parameters in papaya can be improved significantly.

References

- A.O.A.C. (2000). Official method of analysis. Association of Official Agricultural Chemist, Washington, D.C., 17th Edition.
- Bal, J.S. and Randhawa, J.S. (2007). Effect of NAA on fruit drop and quality of Ber. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 36(3&4): 231-232.
- Bhati, B. S. and Yadav, P. K. (2003). Effect of foliar application of urea and NAA on quality of ber cv. Gola. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 32(1-2): 32-33.
- Bhatia, S.K., Yadav, S., Ahlawat, V.P. and Dahiya, S.S. (2001). Effect of foliar application of nutrients on the yield and fruit quality of winter season guava cv. L-49. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 30(1&2): 6-7.
- Bose, T. K. and Mitra, S. K. (1990). Fruits: tropical and subtropical. Naya Prakash, Calcutta, p. 307.
- Chadha, K. L. (1992). Scenario of papaya production and utilization in India. *Indian J. Hort.*, 49 (2): 97-119.
- Dutta, P. and Banik, A.K. (2007). Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients and plant

- growth regulators on physico-chemical quality of Sardar Guava grown in red and leteratic tract of West Bengal. *Acta Hort.* 75(1): 407-411.
- Gupta, R., and Chakrabarty, S. K. (2013). Gibberellic acid in plant: still a mystery unresolved. *Plant Signaling and Behavior*, 8(9), e25504.
- Kale, V.S., Dod, V.N., Adpawar, R.M., and Bharad, S.G. (2000). Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit characters and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* L.). *Crop Res.* 20(2): 327-333.
- Katiyar, P.N., Yadav, V. and Singh, J.P. (2010). Effect of pre-harvest spray of NAA, GA3 and urea on fruiting, fruit quality and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Annals of Hort.*, 3: 92-94.
- Katiyar, P.N., Singh, J.P. and Singh, P.C. (2009). Effect of nutrients and plant growth regulators on physico-chemical parameters and yield of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahbad Safeda. *Inter. J. Agric. Sci.* 5(10): 173-174.
- Kher, R., Shanoo Bhat and Wali, V.K. (2005). Effect of foliar application of GA3, NAA and CCC on physico-chemical characteristics of guava cv. Sardar. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 34: 31-32.
- Lane, J.H. and Eyon, L. (1923). Determination of reducing sugar by Fehling's solution with methylene blue as indicator. *J. Sci. Chem. Ind.* 42: 32.
- Rangana, S. (2010). Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products, Tata McGraw-Hill Ltd., New Delhi.
- Sharma, J., Sharma, S.K., Panwar, R.D. and Gupta, R.B. (2011). Fruit retention yield and leaf nutrient content of ber as influence by foliar application of nutrients and growth regulators. *Envi. and Eco.* 28(1): 627-631.
- Singh, J.K., Prasad, J. and Singh, H.K. (2007). Effect of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on yield and physico-chemical characteristics of aonal fruits in NA-10. *Indian J. Hort.*, 64(2): 216-218.
- Singh, R.S. and Vashistha, B.B. (1997). Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on fruit drop, yield and quality of ber CY. Seb. *Haryana J Hort. Sci.*, 26 (1-2): 20-24.

How to cite this article:

Ashutosh Kumar Singh, V.M. Prasad, Devi Singh, Vijay Bahadur, T. Thomas and Anil Kumar. 2018. Effect of Different Combinations of PGR's and Micronutrients on Quality in Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Pusa Nanha. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(09): 2813-2820. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.350>